15. Erdos-Renyi diameter and isolated vertices

Monday, October 11, 2021 10:39 PM

Then: The property that
$$G(n,p)$$
 has diameter 2 has a sharp threshold at $p = \sqrt{2}$. $\sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}$.

(i.e. If $p = \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}$, for $c < \sqrt{2}$, diameter > 2 a.s.)

 $c > \sqrt{2}$, diameter < 2 a.s.

proof. If diameter > 2, then I non-adjacent vertices i and \hat{j} s.t. no other vertex is adj to both i and \hat{j} . Call such a pair bad.

Let indicator $\hat{I}_{i,j}^{z}=1$ if \hat{f} pair (i,j) is bad.

Let $x=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j}} \hat{I}_{i,j}^{z}$, \hat{f} of bad vertices.

$$E = \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$\# prices$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$\# prices$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p^2)^{n-2} \# \text{ other vertices}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p)$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p)$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p) (1-p)$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} (1-p) (1-$$

Setting
$$p = c \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}$$
, $\mathbb{E} \times \approx \frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - c \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}\right) \left(1 - c^2 \frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^n$
 $\approx \frac{n^2}{2} \exp\left(-c^2 \cdot \ln n\right) \approx \frac{1}{2} n^2 - c^2$

For $C > \sqrt{2}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} E \times = 0$, By the 1st moment method, G(n,p) a.s. has no bod pair, and hence diameter 4

Now consider c < 52, where lim F = 0. We will use 2nd moment nethol.

$$E(x^{2}) = E(\sum_{i < j} I_{ij})^{2} = E(\sum_{i < j} I_{ij} \sum_{k < e} I_{ke}) = E(\sum_{i < j} I_{ij} I_{ke}) = \sum_{i < j} I_{i} (I_{ij} I_{ke})$$
Use the same idea with triangles to partition the sat.

$$= \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ k < \ell}} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathcal{I}_{ij} \mathcal{I}_{k\ell} \right) + \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i < j}} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathcal{I}_{ij} \mathcal{I}_{ik} \right) + \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i < j}} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathcal{I}_{ij}^{2} \mathcal{I}_{ik} \right)$$

When all 4 vertices are distinct, must be two bad pairs (i,j) and (k,l) for $T_{i,j} T_{kk} = 1$. Then $\forall u \notin \{i,j,k,l\}$, at least one of (i,u), (j,u) is absent " (k,u), (l,u) is absent. The probability of both absences is (1-p2)2 So $\mathbb{F}(I_{ij}I_{ko}) \leq (1-p^2)^{2(n-4)} \leq (1-c^2 \frac{\ln n}{n})^{2n} (1+o(1)) \leq n^{-2c^2} (1+o(1))$ When only 3 distinct vertices, if Ii, Iik I, then Vux Ei, j, k3, either there is no edge (i, u), or there or an edge (i, u) and both (j, u), (t, u) are absent. The prob is 1-p+p(1-p)2=1-2p2+p3 21-2p2 (for one u) Thus, $\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{I}_{i\bar{j}}\,\mathbb{I}_{ik}\right)\approx\left(\left|-2\rho^{2}\right|^{n-3}\approx\exp\left(-2\rho^{2}\left(n-3\right)\right)\approx\exp\left(-2c^{2}\ln n\right)\times n^{-2c^{2}}$ $\Rightarrow \sum_{S:=12} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathcal{I}_{i\bar{j}} \mathcal{I}_{ih} \right) \leq n^{3} - n^{-2} c^{2} = n^{-2} c^{2}$ When only 2 distinct vertices $\sum_{i,j} \mathbb{F} \left(\mathcal{I}_{ij} \right)^2 = \mathbb{F}_{x} \approx \frac{1}{2} n^{2-c^2}$ Together $E(x^2) \leq \frac{1}{4} n + n + n + 2c^2 + 2c^2 + 4c^2 + 2c^2 + 2c^2$ If < < \(\int_{\chi}\) \(\mathbb{E}(\times^2) \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\) By a 2nd noment argument, the graph a.s. his at least one bad pair, so the dramenter > 2. The disappearance of isolated vertices in G(n,p) has a sharp threshold of In. proof, let x=# isolated vertices. Then Ex = n (1-p) n-1 1: m 1 - c/n n /2 /c

Then Ex = n(1-p) Let $p = c \frac{\ln n}{n}$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} E_{x} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \left(\left[- \frac{c \ln n}{n} \right]^{n} \right) \lim_{n \to \infty} n = -c \ln n = \lim_{n \to \infty} n = -c \ln n$ If C > 1, $E \times \rightarrow 0$, so by let moment argument, almost all graphs have isolated vertices. If c<1, Ex 700, so need a 2nd moment argument. Assume C <1. Let $x = \sum_{i} I_{i}$, where indicator $I_{i} = \sum_{i} I_{i}$ is its larged Then $E(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(\mathcal{I}_i^2) + 2 \sum_{i < j} E(\mathcal{I}_i \mathcal{I}_j)$ isolation is not independent = Fx + n(n-1) F(I, I2) $= \mathbb{E}_{\times} + n(n-1)(1-p)^{n-1}(1-p)^{n-2}$ = $[E \times f n(n-1)(1-p)^{2(n-1)-1}$ Thus, $\frac{\mathbb{F}(x^2)}{(\mathbb{E} \times)^2} = \frac{n(1-p)^{n-1} + n(n-1)(1-p)}{n^2(1-p)^{2(n-1)}} = \frac{1}{n(1-p)^{n-1}} + (1-\frac{1}{n}) \frac{1}{1-p}$ For pic In n with c<1, nim Fx = 00 and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}(x^2)}{(\mathbb{E}x)^2}\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n-c}+\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{1-c}\frac{h^n}{n}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n-c}+o(1)\right)$ By 2nd moment argument, almost all graphs have Bolatel wertices for CC/