How to solve it Lecture 2b: 2022-01-19

MAT A02 – Winter 2022 – UTSC

Prof. Yun William Yu

Codifying solution strategies

- How to Solve It (1945) by George Polya
 - Understand the problem
 - What's the start and end point of the problem?
 - Can you rephrase the problem?
 - Can you draw a picture?
 - Devise a plan
 - What methods have you learned in class?
 - Are there special cases that are easier?
 - Can you work backwards?
 - Carry out the plan
 - Often what you've practice, but you have to be careful.
 - Look back on your work
 - Did you check your work using an alternate strategy?
 - What strategies worked for you on this problem, and can you use it on similar future problems?



Understanding the problem

- Solve for x in the following: $\frac{3}{7}x + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{5}{3}x \frac{14}{15}$
- What's the start point?

A: An equation with two variables

B: An equation with fractions

C: Facts about the current state of the world

D: A set of known operations

E: None of the above

• What's the end point?

went to know $\chi = ?$

fractions are the biggest part

A: Knowing the value of x
B: An interpretation of the equation
C: A prediction for the future
D: A newly invented kind of number
E: None of the above

Devise a plan:
$$\frac{3}{7}x + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{5}{3}x - \frac{14}{15}$$

• What are methods you learned for manipulating equations?

Respond in chat

- Replacing a value with something equal to that value.
- Additive/multiplicative identities.
- Doing the same thing to both sides.
- Commutative/Associative/Distributiv e properties.
- How to add fractions

 $x+2=\frac{4}{2}$ =7 x+2=2 become $\frac{4}{2}=2$ $\frac{2}{2}\cdot x+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{3}{2}=0$ 2x+x+3=02(x+1)=4x+2

 $\frac{1}{2} \times + \frac{1}{2} \times = \frac{2}{6} \times + \frac{3}{6} \times = \frac{5}{6} \times$

Execute the plan:
$$\frac{3}{7}x + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{5}{3}x - \frac{14}{15}$$

• Use manipulations to convert to x = ?Multiply everything by 35: AJ $35\left(\frac{3}{7}\times +\frac{2}{35}\right) = 35\left(\frac{5}{3}\times -\frac{14}{15}\right)$ $15 \times + 2 = \frac{165}{2} \times - 7.8 \cdot \frac{14}{3.8}$ $15 \times + 2 = \frac{165}{7} \times - \frac{98}{3}$ Multiply everything by 3 45x + 6 = 165 x - 98 Subtract both sites by 45x 6 = 17.0 - 98

$$= \frac{-x}{3} - \frac{-15}{15}$$

13 98 for both siles
104 = 120x
 $x = \frac{104}{126}$
 $x = \frac{52}{126} = \frac{13}{15}$

Look back:
$$\frac{3}{7}x + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{5}{3}x - \frac{14}{15}$$

• Did you check your work using an alternate strategy? $x = \frac{13}{15}$

plug in potential solution: $\frac{3}{7} \cdot \frac{13}{15} + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{5}{3} \cdot \frac{13}{15} - \frac{14}{15} / \frac{45}{7} = \frac{65}{3} - 14$ $\frac{13}{35} + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{13}{9} - \frac{14}{15} / \frac{6}{7} = 21\frac{2}{3} - 14$ $\int 6\frac{3}{7} = 7\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{15}{35} = \frac{13}{9} = \frac{14}{15}$ $\frac{3}{7} = \frac{13}{9} = \frac{14}{15}$

7~5=35

Look back



Why did we not get the right solution?

Multiply by 35 $35 \cdot \left(\frac{3}{7} \times + \frac{2}{35}\right) = 35 \left(\frac{5}{7} \times - \frac{14}{15}\right)$ * $|5 \times + 2 = \frac{155}{7} \times - 7 \cdot 8 \cdot \frac{14}{3 \cdot 8}$ $|5 \times + 2 = |\frac{75 \times - 98}{3} - \frac{98}{3}$ 45×16= 175~-98 6 = 130 - 18

A: We did B: Wrong strategy C: Error in execution D: Bad assumptions E: None of the above

131) × =104

15 125

 $X = \frac{104}{130} = \frac{52}{65} = \frac{13 \cdot 4}{13 \cdot 5} = \frac{4}{5}$

35 (5 x - 1/5)= 35. 5 x - 38. 14

Recheck our new work

• Does
$$x = \frac{4}{5}$$
 solve $\frac{3}{7}x + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{5}{3}x - \frac{14}{15}$?
 $\frac{3}{7} \cdot \frac{4}{5} + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{5}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} - \frac{14}{15}$?

$$\frac{12}{35} + \frac{2}{35} = \frac{20}{15} - \frac{14}{15}$$
$$\frac{14}{35} = \frac{6}{15}$$
$$\frac{14}{35} = \frac{6}{15}$$
$$\frac{2.7}{5.7} = \frac{2.3}{5.3}$$
$$\frac{2}{5} = \frac{2}{5} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$$

Look back and reflect

- What strategies worked on this problem; what can you use in the future?
- Multiplying both sides of the equation by the denominator to simplify the equation.
- Alternately, can multiply by $1 = \frac{m}{m}$, but that can be more complicated to keep track of.
- After getting rid of the denominator, "moving" everything with an x to one side, and "moving" everything else to the other side.
- Checking the final answer by plugging it in can help when you make a mistake.

Why care about powers? $(l.l)^{\prime p} = (l.l^5)^2$

• Suppose you owe \$10,000 in student debt which grows at 10% a year. How much do you owe in 10 years if you don't pay it off?



$$\frac{10,000 \times (1.1) \times (1.1) \times ... \times (1.1)}{= 10,000 \times (1.1)^{10} \approx \frac{161}{159}} = 10,000 \times (1.1)^{10} \approx \frac{161}{159} = 10,000 \times (1.1)^{10} \approx \frac{100}{150} = 10,000 \times (1.1)^{10} \times (1.1)^{10} \approx \frac{100}{100} = 10,000 \times$$

A: \$10,000 B: \$20,000 C: \$30,000 D: \$40,000 E: Even more money

- Understand the problem: need to predict the future value based on a yearly growth rate of 10%.
- Devise a strategy: multiply initial value by 1.1 ten times.
- Execute the plan: see above.
- Look back and check: try $(1.1^5)^2 = (1.61)^2 = 2.59$, so clearly there's a problem in our computation.

Inventing negative numbers

3-57 pos. number

- Understand the problem:
 - When you only have positive integers, sometimes subtracting things doesn't give a number.
- Devise a strategy:
 - Invent some new numbers, and give them a name: "negative" integers
 - Then need to create a new number system with both positive and negative integers that fits them all together.
 - Need to check that addition/subtraction both work properly still.
- Execute the strategy:
 - Actually do the math and create new addition/subtraction tables.
- Look back and reflect:
 - Does this solve the original problem? Can we use this kind of method on other problems in the future, like division and fractions?

3-5=-2

Try it out

- Rewrite $(81^{\frac{1}{4}})^3 \cdot 2^{-3}2^2$ as $\frac{m}{n}$, where m, n are both integers.
- Understand the problem: turn it into a fraction.
- Design a strategy: use exponentiation rules
 - $a^0 = 1$
 - $(a^m)^n = a^{mn}$: taking a power multiplies exponents together
 - $a^m a^n = a^{m+n}$: multiplying like powers adds the exponents
 - $a^{-m} = \frac{1}{a^m}$: negative exponents are reciprocals
 - $(ab)^m = a^m b^m$
- Implement the strategy
- Look back and reflect; can you check your answer?

Try it out

 $\frac{3}{(81^{\frac{1}{4}})^{3}} \cdot 2^{-3}2^{2} = 3^{3} \cdot 2^{-3+2}$ $(\frac{1}{8})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} = 9^{\frac{1}{2}} = 3 = 27 \cdot 2^{-1}$ $= 27 \cdot 2^{-1}$ $= 27 \cdot 2^{-1}$

A: $\frac{27}{2}$ B: 1 C: $\frac{27}{64}$ D: $\frac{64}{27}$ E: None of the above

 $\cdot \left(\left(81^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{6} \cdot 2^{-4} 2^{3} \right)^{0} = 1$

Try it out

- Rewrite $\left(\frac{x^{-1}y^2z^0}{x^3y^{-4}z^2}\right)^{-1}$ as a product or quotient of powers in which each variable occurs but once, and all exponents are positive. Assume all variables represent positive real numbers only.
- Understand the problem:
 - Similar to previous problem, except instead of wanting integers at the end, we want positive powers of x, y, z.
- Design a strategy:
 - Use exponentiation rules to remove negative powers and cancel out.
- Look back and reflect:
 - Is there an easy way to check the answer?
 - How similar is this to solving the previous problem?

Try it out: $\left(\frac{x^{-1}y^2z^0}{x^3v^{-4}z^2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{x^3y^{-4}z^2}{\sqrt{y^2z^0}}$ $= \left(\begin{array}{c} x^{-1} & 2 \\ \hline x^{-1} & y^{-1} \\ \hline \hline x^{-1} & y^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^{-1} \qquad \text{because} \quad 2^{-1} \\ \text{because} \quad 2^{-1} \\ \text{because} \quad 2^{-1} \\ \text{because} \quad 2^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$ A: $\frac{x^2}{y^2 z^2}$ $= \left(\frac{\chi}{\chi} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{4}} \cdot \frac{\chi^{-1} \gamma}{\chi^{3} \gamma^{-4} z^{2}} \right)^{-1} mu (tiply by (z \frac{\chi}{\chi} - \frac{\gamma^{7}}{\gamma^{4}} | D: \frac{x^{4} z^{2}}{y^{6}} \\ D: \frac{x^{4} z^{2}}{y^{6}} \\ E \cdot N = 0$ E: None of the above X=1 y=2 z=3 $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 1 \\ 1^{3} \cdot 2^{-4} \cdot 3^{2} \end{array}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 4 \\ -\frac{1}{16} \cdot 9 \end{array}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 67 \\ -\frac{1}{16} \cdot 9 \end{array}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 77 \\ -\frac{1}{16} \cdot 9 \end{array}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 77 \\ -\frac{1}{16} \cdot 9 \end{array}\right)^{-1}$ = × 2 reciprocel $\frac{1}{7.6} \approx \frac{9}{64}$

Think like a mathematician

- We already have a lot of different kinds of numbers: natural numbers, negative numbers, and fractions.
- Do we need even more kinds of numbers for powers?

2 = 2 × 2 × 2 ···· × 2 No

• What about for roots?

A: Yes B: No C: Maybe D: Too many numbers! E: None of the above